1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	GEORGE A. RILEY (State Bar No. 118304) LUANN L. SIMMONS (State Bar No. 203526) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 E-Mail: griley@omm.com lsimmons@omm.com DAVID M. FURBUSH (State Bar No. 83447) O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 2765 Sand Hill Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: (650) 473-2600 Facsimile: (650) 473-2601 E-Mail: dfurbush@omm.com Attorneys for Nominal Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	
	·	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	KELLEY BERGMAN, derivatively on behalf	Case No. C 06-05374 JW
16	of APPLE COMPUTER, INC., Plaintiff,	
17	,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
	V.	DEFENDANTS TO DESPOND TO
18	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V.	DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND REFERRING
18 19	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS,	
	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR.,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and -	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23 24	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and -	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FRED D. ANDERSON, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, TIMOTHY D. COOK, MILLARD DREXLER, STEVEN P. JOBS, RONALD B. JOHNSON, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, MITCHELL MANDICH, PETER OPPENHEIMER, JONATHAN RUBINSTEIN, AVADIS TEVANIAN, JR., JEROME B. YORK, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, Defendants, - and - APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,	COMPLAINT AND REFERRING THIS CASE TO JUDGE JEREMY

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Kelley Bergman and Nominal Defendant Apple Computer, Inc., as follows:

WHEREAS, Bergman filed his Complaint on August 31, 2006;

WHEREAS, counsel are engaged in discussing the possibility of consolidation of this matter with related cases and/or the subsequent filing of a consolidated complaint;

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties wish to further judicial efficiency by deferring responsive pleadings until such time as consolidation issues are addressed;

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties believe and agree that pursuant to L.R. 3-12(a) the instant action is related to the following actions deemed related and currently assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel: (1) *Karant v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04128 JF; (2) *Holbert v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04454 JF; (3) *Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04493 JW; (4) *Port Authority of Allegheny County Retirement and Disability Allowance Plan for Employees Represented by Local 85 of the Amalgamated Transit Union v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04510 PJH; (5) *Alecci v. Anderson, et al.*, Case No. C06-04649; and (6) *Priebe v. Jobs, et al.*, Case No. C06-04703 WHA.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to LR. 6-1, the undersigned parties stipulate as follows:

All defendants who have been served to date, and all defendants who shall subsequently accept service and request to be covered by this stipulation, shall have an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to 30 days after the filing of a consolidated complaint, or in the event that the Court denies a motion for consolidation, the above-described defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Bergman's Complaint no later than 30 days after such denial. The parties further agree that pursuant to L.R. 3-12(a), this case is related to the above-described cases assigned to Judge Jeremy Fogel, and therefore in the interest of judicial economy and efficiency, the parties respectfully request that this case be referred to Judge Jeremy Fogel.

Case 5:06-cv-05374-JF Document 7 Filed 09/08/06 Page 3 of 4 1 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 2 Dated: September 5, 2006 GEORGE A. RILEY DAVID M. FURBUSH 3 LUANN L. SIMMONS O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 4 5 By: /s/ Luann L. Simmons 6 Luann L. Simmons 7 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC. 8 Dated: September 5, 2006 JOSEPH W. COTCHETT 9 BRUCE L. SIMON MARK C. MOLUMPHY 10 KELLY L. SOMMERFELD COTCHETT, PITRE, SIMON & 11 **MCCARTHY** 12 13 By: /s/ Mark C. Molumphy Mark C. Molumphy 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 KELLEY BERGMAN 16 CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE 17 I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been 18 obtained from Mark C. Molumphy. 19 Dated: September 5, 2006 GEORGE A. RILEY DAVID M. FURBUSH 20 LUANN L. SIMMONS O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 21 22 By: /s/ Luann L. Simmons 23 Luann L. Simmons 24 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant APPLE COMPUTER, INC. 25 26 27 28 - 2 -

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3 Dated:	9/8/06	
4		
5	James bre	
6	The Honorable James Ware United States District Judge	
7 MP1:98718	u	
8		
9		
10 11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 3 - STIP. & PROPOSED ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPL C 06-05374 JW	